



From niche
technology to
mainstream living

Introduction

Globally, 2.4 billion people cook their food using open fires or inefficient stoves that harm their health, the climate, and the environment. This contributes to 3.2 million premature deaths annually, disproportionately affecting women and children, and costs USD 2.4 trillion in damage to the climate and local economies. Reliance on firewood and charcoal for cooking has also led to forest degradation and deforestation, as forest resources are harvested to meet fuel demand.

The Global Electric Cooking Coalition (GeCCo) was launched at COP28 with the objective of facilitating the Global mass transition from traditional cooking methods to electric cooking (eCooking). The formal Letter of Intent from **Government of XXXX** provides a mandate for GeCCo activities and underlines the government's ambition for the scale up of electric cooking. This transition will reduce charcoal use, enhance fuel security and support **XXXX's** green energy transition.

Brief

Provide a detailed account of **XXXX's** readiness for the scale up of eCooking, presented in language appropriate for non-technical consumption by decision-makers and GeCCo partner organisations. The report will be used to determine the next steps: barriers that need addressing, stakeholders for inclusion in technical working groups, opportunities which already exist and may be suitable for scaling, and so on.

Scope of Work

This assessment will characterise market readiness across seven areas: the status of Somalia's Internationally Transferred Mitigation Outcomes (ITMOs), the Voluntary Carbon Market, readiness of user demand, electrical infrastructure, supply chain, distributors, and the enabling environment. The report will also identify the process for stakeholder buy in and scaled delivery via Carbon Finance.

Deliverables

- First draft report for comment
- Virtual/remote interviews with selected relevant stakeholders
- Report summarised in PPT (for public consumption)
- Final readiness assessment report (to be shared with Government of Somalia)

Deliverables, budget, and duration

The research is expected to take no more than three months to complete. These dates are non-negotiable. The consultant should demonstrate in their response to these ToR how the work can be completed within the time available.



From niche
technology to
mainstream living

The total budget is a maximum of £25,000 (including any local taxes, VAT etc).

Payment is contingent on successful completion of all deliverables.

Deliverable	Payment value
First draft report	20%
Interviews with stakeholders completed	10%
PPT summary of report	20%
Final report	40%

Responding to these ToR

Each submission will be evaluated based on the following combination of price and quality;

Quality	Score
Appreciation and understanding of the task.	10%
Quality of proposal and methodology	50%
Skills, expertise and experience of consultant/organisation team members including evidence of similar work completed	10%
Proposed management of the activities including Gantt chart	5%
Price and costs	25%
Total	100%

The University will accept the quotation which is the best value for money i.e. a balance between cost and quality. Shortlisted organisations may be invited to an interview (online) to finalise selection.

Responses should be a maximum of 8 pages (plus up to 3 CVs, 2 pages each).

Please send all responses to xxxx@xxx.co.uk with the subject 'Country XXXX Readiness Assessment Proposal'. All proposals must be received by **specify date**.

The successful consultant/organisation will have to complete a mandatory due diligence process before a contract can be finalised.

Contract Management

This contract will be managed by [xxxxx](#). All deliverables should be emailed to them on or before the date required. The funder reserves the right to request the consultant to make revisions to the



From niche
technology to
mainstream living

deliverables if they do not meet the required quality. The consultant will be required to make these revisions at no additional cost to Loughborough University.

Appointments to discuss the overall progress against the contract will be agreed at mutually convenient times to the consultant and the project manager.

Ethical considerations

All research must be in line with the [Code of Practice for research, Promoting good practice and preventing misconduct](#) (UK Research Integrity Office, 2009).

The UK Research Integrity Office (UKRIO) is an independent charity, offering support to the public, researchers and organisations to further good practice in academic, scientific and medical research. Its confidential advice service is available to free of charge to individuals (members of the public, research participants, patients, researchers and students) and subscribing organisations. Their advice service can be [accessed here](#).

At a minimum, participants must not be subjected to physical, social, legal or psychological harm. Due consideration and ethical steps must be taken into safeguarding all participants, especially the vulnerable. A detailed Participation Information Sheet explaining the full scope of the study, what confidentiality entails, and that no participants will be forced into participating, must be provided at recruitment. Participants are to be made aware that participation is fully voluntary and there are no repercussions if they choose to no longer participate in the study at any point in time. Participants should, ideally, sign a consent form which includes consent for the use of photographs and videos.

Confidentiality must be maintained at all times. With regards to confidentiality and privacy of participation, participants must be informed that their anonymity will be maintained in any outputs and that all identifiable markers will be removed from any data sets that are published. Additionally, due consideration must be made to ensure that participants are safeguarded during the research process in line with the local government issued guidelines around COVID-19.

The consultant will be responsible for securing any research or ethical permissions needed from local authorities in each of the field work locations. There may be additional ethical, or research clearance needed for this kind of user centric design research in the chosen country.